

Mary Box

**Federal Trade Commission/ Office of Secretary
Rm H-135 (Annex W)
RE: Business Opportunity Rule R511993
600 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington DC 20580**

RE: Business Opportunity Rule 51193

Dear Sirs, Madam,

I am writing out of a deep concern about the proposed Business Opportunity Rule 51193. I believe in its present form it could prevent me and thousands of entripuneral men and women from continuing selling Weekenders products.

THE SEVEN DAY WAITING PERIOD. This is both burdensome for the Sponsor and suspicious for the New Coordinator. Such Federally mandated rules would add additional and unnecessary time to getting started and would add a paper trail nightmare. If such practices were enforced for automobile, home or appliance purchases think of the impact on the national economy.

LITIGATION-If Weekenders has never been found guilty of any litigation, what is the purpose for disclosing such litigation other than to confuse and raise suspicion on the part of the prospect.

REFERENCES- I have always prided myself in Weekenders ethics and superb customer service. Disclosing 10 Closest Coordinators to the prospect is a violation of privacy in this day and age of identity theft.

I have been a Weekenders Coordinator since 1992. It has afforded me the good fortune to be at home raising our children. The up and coming future citizens of this Country. I have also been able to contribute to our family. While I appreciate the FTC work to protect the consumer. I believe this new rule has many consequences. I know there are alternatives available in achieving its goals.

Thank you for considering my comments in this matter.

Sincerely,

Mary D. Box